

$\pi f^2 = \pi f$, courtesy Jaap van der Woude
and Henk Doornbos

We consider a monotonic function f on a partially ordered set. Furthermore the poset is such that for any two elements the infimum (\downarrow) exists. The theorem to be proved is

f has a least prefix-point

\Rightarrow

f^2 has a least prefix-point.

Proof Prefix-points are unique. Let πf be the prefix-point of f , i.e.

$$(0a) \quad f.x \leq x \Rightarrow \pi f \leq x \quad (\forall x)$$

$$(0b) \quad f.\pi f \leq \pi f.$$

We have to prove the existence of a least-prefix-point of f^2 , i.e. we have to prove the existence of a p such that

$$(1a) \quad f^2.x \leq x \Rightarrow p \leq x \quad (\forall x)$$

$$(1b) \quad f^2.p \leq p.$$

We start to investigate what is involved in the wanted validity of (1b).

$$f^2.p \leq p$$

{ of the two givens (0a) and (0b), the only one that more or less matches $f^2.p \leq p$ is (0b). But then we have to get rid

of an occurrence of f in $f^?$. Our means towards such an elimination are Leibniz and monotonicity, but these require an f at both sides. Hence we propose the availability of a q such that

- $f.q \leq p$ } {transitivity of \leq }

$f^? p \leq f.q$
 \Leftarrow { f is monotonic }
 $f.p \leq q$
 \equiv { • $f.p \leq q$ }
 true .

In order to satisfy both $f.q \leq p$ and $f.p \leq q$ we have absolutely no choice: $p = \pi f$ and $q = \pi f$ - see (0b) - .

After the above the only candidate left for p is πf , in terms of which our remaining proof obligation (1a) reads

$$f^? x \leq x \Rightarrow \pi f \leq x . \quad (\forall x)$$

A proof of this may have the form

$\pi f \leq x$
 \Leftarrow { (0a) }
 $f.x \leq x$
 \Leftarrow { ??? }
 $f^? x \leq x$.

There is however no way in which we can validate the step marked ??? . (There even is no way: Jaap van der Woude showed a counterexample.)

So we have to proceed more carefully and introduce an extra f so as to create an f^2 . Here the existence of \downarrow enters the picture.

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \pi f \leq x \\
 \Leftarrow & \quad \{ \text{Big Trick} \} \{ x \downarrow f.x \leq x \} \\
 & \pi f \leq x \downarrow f.x \\
 \Leftarrow & \quad \{ (0a) \text{ with } x := x \downarrow f.x \} \\
 & f.(x \downarrow f.x) \leq x \downarrow f.x \\
 \Leftarrow & \quad \{ f.(x \downarrow f.x) \leq f.x \downarrow f.(f.x), \text{ from } f\text{'s} \\
 & \quad \text{monotonicity} \} \\
 & f.x \downarrow f.(f.x) \leq x \downarrow f.x \\
 \Leftarrow & \quad \{ \downarrow \text{ is monotonic} \} \{ f \circ f = f^2 \} \\
 & f^2.x \leq x .
 \end{aligned}$$

* * *

It is the Big Trick that triggered the writing of this note. It was Henk Doornbos who drew my attention to the fact that the Big Trick is not a trick at all since this calculational move pops up every so often in fixed-point calculations.

* * *

Jaap van de Woude's counter-example for $f.x \leq x \Leftarrow f^2.x \leq x$ ($\forall x$) is along the following lines. Take the natural numbers with ordering

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 & \geq 2 \geq 4 \geq 6 \geq \dots \\
 0 & \geq 1 \geq 3 \geq 5 \geq \dots,
 \end{aligned}$$

and no relation between the positive even and positive odd numbers. Take for f : $f.0 = 0$,
 $f.(2k+2) = 2k+1$, $f.(2k+1) = 2k+2$. Then $f^2 = \text{Id}$,
 and $f \neq \text{Id}$.

WHJ Feijen

3 November 1995