A case of intuitive reasoning

Mathematical intuition is a very dangerous and unreliable compass, even more so in the case of multiprogramming. Last spring I showed a very small multiprogram to my class, namely the following

```
A:  y := false                B:  x := false
    ; if y → skip fi              ; if x → skip fi
```

These are just two straight line program, each consisting of just two simple statement. Hardly anything simpler can be conceived, isn't it?

Now, for the sake of letting both components terminate I granted my class the possibility to add statements "x := true" to component A as many as they wanted and wherever they wanted. And similarly statements "y := true" were allowed to be added to B.

The class did not hesitate very long. Because component B is "waiting" for x to become true, termination of B becomes most likely if A performs "x := true" as often as possible. And symmetrically so for "y := true". So, here is the solution:

```
A:  x := true                 B:  y := true
    ; y := false                  ; x := false
    ; x := true                   ; y := true
    ; if y → skip fi              ; if x → skip fi
    ; x := true                   ; y := true .
```

But, alas, each effort to give a genuine termination proof failed. And indeed, there is no guarantee that both components terminate. (Let A proceed to its if. Then $x \wedge \neg y$ holds. Then, let B perform its first "y := true". Then $x \wedge y$ holds. Now let A terminate. Then, B gets stuck.)

The nice thing is that, if we remove the first line from each component, i.e. if we consider

```
A:   y := false                B:   x := false
   ; x := true                    ; y := true
   ; if y → skip fi               ; if x → skip fi
   ; x := true                    ; y := true
```

then everything is okay (proof omitted here).

* * *

To me, the above is a very nice example to demonstrate the intricacies of multiprogramming to a novice adience, and to warn them to never lean on "intuition", but on rigorous formal proofs instead.

WHJ Feijen,
Eindhoven
26 August 1994