

Why \sqrt{p} is irrational, for every prime p

In this little essay all variables denote positive natural numbers (i.e.: natural numbers at least 1). For fixed prime number p I wish you to take for granted the existence of a function f satisfying

- (i) $f \cdot p = 1$
 (ii) $f \cdot (x * y) = f \cdot x + f \cdot y$, for all x, y ,

the idea being that $f \cdot x$ is "the number of prime factors p occurring in x "; a formalised definition of this can be used to prove (i) and (ii) — this probably requires mathematical induction —, but I am perfectly happy with (i) and (ii) as a definition (and the missing $f \cdot q = 0$ for every other prime q , and $f \cdot 1 = 0$, but these I don't need).

Now we calculate:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & p * x^2 = y^2 \\
 \Rightarrow & \{ \text{Leibniz} \} \\
 & f \cdot (p * x^2) = f \cdot (y^2) \\
 \equiv & \{ \text{(ii) (thrice) and (i)} \} \\
 & 1 + 2 * f \cdot x = 2 * f \cdot y \\
 \equiv & \{ \text{odd numbers differ from even numbers} \} \\
 & \text{false,}
 \end{aligned}$$

from which we conclude that the equation

$$x, y : p * x^2 = y^2$$

has no solutions in the positive natural numbers. Hence, no positive naturals x, y exist such that $\sqrt{p} = x/y$.

The above formalisation of f — i.e.: (i) and (ii) and the two missing cases — is not at all far-fetched: every positive natural corresponds in a unique way to a bag of primes — its factorisation —, and the above just defines f recursively over that bag.

Eindhoven, 23 march 1994
Rob R. Hoogerwoord