

Continuations continued (for the record)

The other day I found myself struggling with a program transformation that by now should be completely standard. Therefore, I decided to write it down, mainly for the sake of memorisation.

Suppose function F is defined recursively in terms of (known) functions b, f, g , and a binary operator \oplus , as follows:

$$F.x = \left(\begin{array}{l} \neg b.x \rightarrow f.x \\ \quad \vee \quad b.x \rightarrow x \oplus F.(g.x) \end{array} \right).$$

The problem is to transform this definition into one that involves tail recursion only. If the operator \oplus is associative an appeal to the Tail Recursion Theorem does the job.

For nonassociative \oplus we observe:

$$\begin{aligned} & x \oplus F.(g.x) \\ = & \quad \{ \text{syntactic convention w.r.t. } (x \oplus) \} \\ & (x \oplus).(F.(g.x)) \\ = & \quad \{ \text{function composition} \} \\ & ((x \oplus) \circ F).(g.x), \end{aligned}$$

and we observe that function composition is associative. Therefore, we introduce a function G as a generalisation of F ; its specification is:

$$G \cdot h = h \circ F .$$

Then, F can be defined — or: implemented — in terms of G by — with Id for the identity function — :

$$F = G \cdot \text{Id} .$$

A recursive definition for G can be derived easily :

$$\begin{aligned} G \cdot h \cdot x &= (\neg b \cdot x \rightarrow h \cdot (f \cdot x) \\ &\quad \square b \cdot x \rightarrow G \cdot (h \circ (x \oplus)) \cdot (g \cdot x) \\ &\quad) . \end{aligned}$$

This is all very straightforward. What I was struggling with was the following transformation. The functions h involved in the implementation of F are continued compositions of functions of the kind $(x \oplus)$. These functions can be conveniently represented by lists, since both composition and catenation are associative. For this purpose we define an abstraction function φ , as a list homomorphism:

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi \cdot [] &= \text{Id} \\ \varphi \cdot [x] &= (x \oplus) \\ \varphi \cdot (s \# t) &= \varphi \cdot s \circ \varphi \cdot t \end{aligned}$$

We introduce a new function H with specification:

$$H \cdot s = G \cdot (\varphi \cdot s) , \text{ for list } s .$$

Hence: $F = H.[]$

A definition for H is derivable from the definitions of G and φ , yielding:

$$H.s.x = (\neg b.x \rightarrow \varphi.s.(f.x) \\ \quad \vee b.x \rightarrow H.(s \# [x]).(g.x) \\) .$$

Moreover, for expressions of the form $\varphi.s.y$ the following tail recursive definition is possible:

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi.[] . y &= y \\ \varphi.(s \# [x]) . y &= \varphi.s.(x \oplus y) \end{aligned}$$

By "reversal of the list s " the operation $(\# [x])$ becomes a cons operation $(x;)$. This is too simple a transformation to be recorded here.

In the above I have omitted all derivations because they are entirely of the kind nothing-else-you-can-do. That I struggled with this exercise was caused by laziness: I tried to write down the outcome by heart instead of recalculating it. Shame on me!

Eindhoven, 30 January 1992
Rob R. Hoogerwoord